PROFESSIONAL ARTICLE

What Is the RMA, and How Can It Guide Mathematics Teaching?

Understanding the Grades 7-10 RMA as a mathematics readiness test

Erlina R. Ronda, PhD

This article presents my professional perspective on the interpretation and instructional use of the RMA. I believe it is important to explain more clearly what the assessment was designed to show and how its results can inform teaching. My purpose is to help teachers and school leaders draw appropriate and educationally useful conclusions from the evidence it provides.

1. A more useful way to understand the RMA

The instrument is currently referred to in DepEd as the Rapid Mathematics Assessment. The familiar name has helped establish a common assessment across schools. From my perspective as project leader, however, the design of the Grades 7-10 instrument points more clearly to readiness than to rapid testing.

It is not a brief screening test. Incoming Grade 7 learners answer 21 items; Grade 8 learners, 38; Grade 9 learners, 45; and Grade 10 learners, all 47 items. The questions also require more than quick recall. Of the 47 items, 37 - or 79 percent - involve interpreting, applying, or reasoning with mathematics.

> **A NAME THAT FITS THE PURPOSE** I suggest describing the instrument as the Mathematics Readiness Test for Grades 7-10. Where the familiar acronym RMA is retained, Readiness Math Assessment better communicates its purpose. The important point is not the initials themselves. It is that readiness, rather than speed, guides how the results are interpreted and used.

The RMA is best understood as a cumulative diagnostic assessment of selected mathematical foundations that learners bring when they enter a new grade. It does not mainly test whether learners have mastered the curriculum of the grade they are entering. It samples prior ideas, representations, relationships, and forms of reasoning that are expected to support later mathematics.

What makes it a readiness test?

- **It looks backward in order to support learning ahead.** The items sample mathematics from earlier grades that learners may need to use again.
- **It is cumulative.** Older grade levels answer more items because they are expected to draw on a larger body of prior mathematics.
- **It examines mathematical work, not only remembered content.** The Table of Specifications includes Knowing, Interpreting, Applying, and Reasoning.
- **It is diagnostic.** Its main value lies in locating patterns that teachers can investigate, not in producing one final judgment about a learner.

What does the RMA sample?

The Table of Specifications organizes the items around seven mathematical objects: Number Expressions, Data, Coordinates, Triangles, Variables, Equations and Graphs, and Circles. It also classifies each item by the kind of mathematical work expected.

Cognitive process	Number of items	What learners are asked to do
Knowing	10	Recall or recognize relevant facts, terms, representations, or procedures
Interpreting	15	Make sense of mathematical information, relationships, or representations
Applying	13	Select and use mathematics in a problem or situation
Reasoning	9	Connect ideas, justify a conclusion, or draw a defensible inference

The full instrument contains 47 items. Grade-level forms use different numbers of items from this cumulative set.

2. What the RMA can - and cannot - tell a school

The RMA can help schools ask better questions

- Which selected mathematical foundations appear relatively secure, fragile, or uncertain?
- Are difficulties concentrated in knowing, interpreting, applying, or reasoning?
- Which foundations may make an upcoming topic harder to access?
- Which item patterns deserve a short follow-up task or classroom discussion?
- What common teaching priority might benefit from joint planning by the mathematics team?

The RMA cannot establish these conclusions on its own

- **A general level of mathematical proficiency.** The assessment samples selected foundations; it is not a comprehensive achievement test of the curriculum.
- **A fixed label for a learner.** Difficulty on selected items does not mean that a learner is generally 'not ready' for mathematics.
- **The reason for a wrong answer.** The cause may involve prior learning, language, representation, confidence, attendance, item interpretation, or another condition.
- **A teacher's or school's effectiveness.** One assessment cannot separate the many influences on learner performance.
- **Annual growth in overall mathematical proficiency.** A beginning-to-end difference on the same readiness items is not automatically a validated gain score.

> **USE SCORES AS CLUES** An RMA result is strongest when it directs attention to a mathematical question that teachers can examine next. It becomes weaker when it is treated as a complete explanation or a permanent judgment.

3. Mathematics has building blocks - but they are not a checklist

A mathematical building block is an earlier idea, representation, relationship, or form of reasoning that learners need to use again in more advanced mathematics. Equality is a building block. So is interpreting a coordinate point, comparing magnitudes, connecting a table and a graph, or explaining why an equation transformation is valid.

These building blocks do not form one rigid staircase. They form a network. The same idea may support several later topics, and several earlier ideas may come together in one new task. A learner may use number relationships confidently but still need help connecting an equation with its graph. Readiness is therefore specific to the mathematics ahead; it is not a fixed quality of the learner.

Building block	What the RMA samples	Why it matters later
Number and number structure	Magnitude, fractions and decimals, powers, and number-line relationships	Rational and irrational numbers, algebraic quantities, inequalities, and measurement
Pattern, generalization, and variable	Recognizing structure, extending patterns, expressing a general rule, and interpreting a variable	Expressions, equations, sequences, functions, and modelling
Equality and algebraic relationships	Relations between quantities and legitimate transformations of equations	Linear equations, systems, inequalities, quadratics, and symbolic reasoning
Coordinates, graphs, and representations	Locating points and connecting equations, graphs, tables, and contexts	Functions, analytic geometry, graph interpretation, and modelling
Data interpretation	Reading and comparing displays, identifying trends, and reasoning from tables	Statistics, probability, evidence-based interpretation, and quantitative decisions
Geometric and proportional reasoning	Angles, distances, area, similarity, scale, and related relationships	Measurement, similarity, coordinate geometry, and later trigonometric reasoning

These are useful interpretive groupings for teachers. They are not proficiency levels, official learner categories, or a fixed sequence for remediation.

Why a building-block approach matters

If learners find a graph item difficult, the issue may not simply be 'graphing.' They may need support with number magnitude, coordinates, variable meaning, proportional relationships, scale, or connections among tables, equations, and graphs. The RMA result identifies a place to look; teacher investigation identifies the more precise need.

This approach also avoids delaying grade-level mathematics while learners repeat large parts of an earlier curriculum. Teachers can strengthen the most relevant foundation just in time and use it immediately in the new topic.

4. A practical example: from a response pattern to a teaching move

Suppose many incoming Grade 8 learners answer Knowing items about variables correctly but have difficulty interpreting coordinate points and connecting a table with a graph. A useful conclusion is not 'These learners are low in algebra.' A more defensible working hypothesis is: learners may recognize some symbols and procedures but need support coordinating different representations of the same relationship.

Use a short follow-up task

The following is a parallel classroom example, not a reproduced RMA item. It shows how teachers can investigate the pattern without teaching the test.

> **FOLLOW-UP TASK** A tank contains 20 litres of water before filling begins. Water enters at 15 litres per minute. Ask learners to: (1) complete a table for 0, 1, 2, and 3 minutes; (2) explain what the ordered pair (2, 50) means; (3) plot the points; (4) describe how the graph shows the starting amount and the rate of change; and (5) write a rule connecting time and amount of water.

What teachers can learn from the responses

- A correct table but reversed coordinate points may indicate difficulty with coordinate conventions.
- Correct points but no explanation of (2, 50) may indicate that coordinates are being treated as locations without meaning in context.
- A graph through the origin may indicate that the learner noticed the rate but overlooked the initial 20 litres.
- A correct rule with an unclear graph may point to difficulty connecting symbolic and visual representations.

The teacher can then provide focused support: connect each table row to a coordinate point, ask what each coordinate means, compare the changes, and connect the starting value and rate to the graph and rule. The class then returns to the intended Grade 8 work. The aim is access to new mathematics, not prolonged remediation.

5. How to read school RMA results

RMA interpretation is professional work for mathematics teachers and school leaders. A spreadsheet is useful, but totals and colour bands can hide the mathematical information that makes the assessment valuable. I suggest reading the results in the following order.

1. **Check the assessment conditions.** Were classes assessed under comparable conditions? Were there printing, language, encoding, timing, or missing-response issues?
2. **Use the total only for initial orientation.** A total can show broad difficulty with the selected items, but it cannot identify the source or establish a proficiency level.
3. **Group related items.** Look for patterns across mathematical objects and building blocks rather than reacting to one low item.
4. **Compare cognitive processes.** Distinguish difficulty with knowing from difficulty interpreting, applying, or reasoning.
5. **Return to the questions and response options.** Solve the items, examine common wrong choices and non-response, and identify the mathematics each response may reveal.
6. **Form a hypothesis, then check it.** Use a short task, learner work, or discussion to test the team's interpretation before planning a larger response.

Describe evidence instead of assigning a proficiency label

Some reporting systems organize scores into bands because schools need a concise summary. That is understandable. However, a proficiency label makes a broad claim about what a learner knows and can do. It requires a clearly defined construct, adequate curriculum coverage, defensible cut scores, and evidence that the categories are valid and reliable for the decisions being made. The Grades 7-10 RMA was not developed for that purpose.

For teaching decisions, it is more useful to describe the evidence directly.

Less useful as an instructional conclusion	More useful description
The learner is not ready for Grade 8 mathematics.	The learner had difficulty with selected coordinate and graph items; a follow-up task is needed to identify the specific issue.
The class is at the Beginning level in algebra.	Many learners performed better on Knowing items than on items requiring them to interpret variables and connect representations.
This section is the weakest class.	This section may need additional opportunities to interpret graphs and explain relationships; classroom evidence should be examined.
The school has low mathematical proficiency.	Across several related RMA items, selected readiness foundations appear fragile and warrant focused investigation.

Direct descriptions stay close to what the assessment sampled and point more clearly toward the next teaching action.

6. If the RMA is given at the beginning and end of the year

Many schools administer the RMA at both points because this is how implementation has been directed. The second administration is not without value. It gives another description of how learners respond to the selected items. The caution concerns the claim made from the difference between the two scores.

Two administrations do not automatically turn a readiness assessment into a validated pretest-posttest measure of annual learning. The instrument does not cover everything taught during the grade. If the same items are repeated, familiarity and memory may affect responses. Differences in timing, motivation, administration conditions, and learner attendance may also matter.

A careful way to report the two administrations

- **At the beginning of the year:** use the pattern to identify selected foundations that may need investigation and support.
- **At the end of the year:** describe performance on the selected RMA items at that time.
- **When comparing the two:** report item or building-block patterns cautiously and note that they are two descriptions of performance.
- **For claims about learning:** use additional curriculum-aligned tasks, learner work, explanations, and new formative assessments.

> **A CLAIM TO AVOID** I advise against treating the score difference by itself as annual growth in overall mathematical proficiency, or as proof of teacher, program, or school effectiveness. A stronger account of progress draws on independent evidence from the mathematics the school intended to strengthen.

7. Turning RMA evidence into better teaching

A school cannot respond equally to every weak item. The most useful priorities are common enough to matter, important for upcoming mathematics, visible across related evidence, and focused enough for teachers to address and review.

A five-step school inquiry cycle

1. **Choose one high-leverage building block.** Connect it to an upcoming lesson or unit rather than choosing only the item with the lowest percentage correct.
2. **Check the interpretation.** Use a short common task or discussion to examine learner thinking more closely.
3. **Co-plan just-in-time support.** Agree on the examples, representations, questions, and explanations that can strengthen the building block within grade-level work.

4. **Teach and collect learner evidence.** Keep samples of work and explanations that show how learners use the target idea in a fresh situation.
5. **Review and decide.** Determine what changed, what remains difficult, and whether to sustain, modify, extend, or close the focus.

What school leaders can support

- Protect time for mathematics teachers to study a small set of items and learner responses together.
- Provide access to the Table of Specifications, answer keys, item information, and relevant curriculum documents.
- Encourage focused follow-up tasks rather than broad reteaching of an entire previous curriculum.
- Keep the use of results low-stakes so teachers can investigate difficulties honestly.
- Avoid ranking learners, classes, teachers, or schools on the basis of one RMA administration or an unvalidated beginning-to-end difference.

A 60-minute mathematics team conversation for LAC session or Lesson Study

- **10 minutes - Frame the question.** Choose one upcoming area of learning or one building block.
- **15 minutes - Inspect the evidence.** Compare related items and cognitive processes; record observations before explanations.
- **15 minutes - Study the mathematics.** Solve the items, examine common responses, and identify what learners needed to understand or do.
- **10 minutes - Generate hypotheses.** List two or three possible explanations and the evidence needed to distinguish them.
- **10 minutes - Agree on one response.** Plan a follow-up task or short teaching sequence and set a date to review learner evidence.

8. The most productive use of the RMA

The RMA can give schools a shared starting point. It can make selected mathematical foundations visible, bring teachers together around evidence, and help leaders direct time and support toward a specific learning need. Its value does not depend on turning the total score into a label or a ranking.

Responsible use also keeps expectations high. Learners do not need to remain in a separate readiness curriculum until every gap is closed. Teachers can strengthen the foundations that matter most while learners continue to engage with meaningful, age-appropriate mathematics.

> **THE QUESTION TO CARRY FORWARD** The strongest school-improvement question is not, 'How do we increase the RMA average?' It is, 'What must change in the learning opportunities we provide so that learners can use an important mathematical foundation in the work ahead?'

When teachers and school leaders use the RMA to pursue that question together, the assessment becomes more than a report. It becomes a practical resource for better mathematics teaching and more equitable access to learning.

About the author and this article

Erlina R. Ronda is a Science Education Specialist (Mathematics)/Career Scientist at UP NISMED, University of the Philippines Diliman. She served as project leader of the UP NISMED project that developed the Grades 7-10 RMA. Her work focuses on mathematics curriculum, teaching, assessment, and teacher professional learning.

The article draws on the RMA instrument and its Table of Specifications, curriculum-prerequisite mapping, and the view of mathematical proficiency as involving conceptual understanding, procedural fluency, strategic competence, and adaptive reasoning.

The RMA samples selected readiness foundations. It does not by itself establish general mathematical proficiency, productive disposition, or the causes of learner difficulty. Item counts, reporting practices, and grade-level coverage may need to be updated if the instrument or related policy is revised.

Erlina R. Ronda | August 2026 | Professional article for school leaders and mathematics teachers